

# Session 3: Types and classes II

COMP2221: Functional programming

Lawrence Mitchell\*

\* lawrence.mitchell@durham.ac.uk

COMP2221—Session 3: Types and classes II 1 2 and 2 and

## Recap

- Idea that variables, *and functions* have *types*
- Saw some basic Haskell types
	- Bool
	- Int, Integer, Float
	- Char
	- *tuples* (a, b, c) and *lists* [a]
- Discussed *currying* of functions.

```
-- "uncurried"
add':: (Int, Int) \rightarrow Intadd' (x, y) = x + y
```

```
-- "curried"
add'' :: Int -> Int -> Int
add'' x y = x y
```
# Currying conventions (reminder)

- (Almost) all functions in Haskell are written in *curried* form
- $\Rightarrow$  To avoid messy syntax, this leads to associativity rules for -> and function application.

```
-> associates to the right
  Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Int
  -- Means
  Int \rightarrow (Int \rightarrow (Int \rightarrow Int))
```
Function *application* associates to the *left*

```
mult x \vee z-- Means
((mult x) y) z
```
• Any type declaration you write will be *checked* by the type inference engine. Error if incorrect

```
foo :: Int -> Bool
foo x = x + 3error:
    - Couldn't match expected type `Bool' with actual type `Int'
    - In the expression: x + 3
      In an equation for `foo': foo x = x + 3
```
### Recommendation

Reasoning about types is a core part of understanding (and writing) Haskell code.

 $\Rightarrow$  always decorate function definitions with their type.

### Syntax conventions

- Function application is *so important* that it is written as quietly as possible: with whitespace
- *All* functions can be called in *prefx* form: "foo a b", not "a foo b"
- …but, special syntax for binary functions.

### Infx notation

All binary functions (which have type  $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c$ ) can be written as *infx* functions.

### Symbol only names

Names consisting *only* of symbols (e.g. +, \*)

 $1 + 2$  -- infix notation  $(+)$  1 2 -- prefix notation False && True -- infix notation (&&) False True -- prefix notation

#### "Normal" names

Names with alpha-numeric characters (e.g. div, mod)

```
mod 3 2 -- prefix notation
3 `mod` 2 -- infix notation using backticks
```
## Summary

• Functions defned by "equations" that match patterns: head'  $[]$  =  $[]$  $head'$   $(x:xs) = x$ referentially

"Where-ever you see head' [] replace it with []"

- $\,\cdot\,$  No *side effects*  $\Rightarrow$  substitution is always safe/correct. transparent
- Patterns are tried textually in order down the page.
- Guards can be used to constrain when equations can match

\n
$$
\begin{array}{r}\n \text{sigma } n | n > 0 \\
 \hline\n 1 \text{ m} &= 0 \\
 \hline\n 0 \text{ otherwise} &= -1\n \end{array}
$$
\n

\n\n $\begin{array}{r}\n \text{Guard can be any expression that evaluates to a } \text{Bool} \text{ values.} \\
 \text{Compare} \\
 \text{Change} \\
 \text{Change} \\
 \text{Value} \\
 \text{$ 

otherwise

## Building block summary

- Prerequisites: none
- Content
	- Defning functions as "equations"
	- Pattern matching in equations
	- Guards and conditional expressions
	- Special syntax for infx notation (binary functions)
- Expected learning outcomes
	- student can *write* functions using conditional expressions and guard expressions
	- student *understands* order in which patterns are tried in matching
- Self-study
	- None

<span id="page-8-0"></span>[Polymorphism](#page-8-0)

## Polymorphism

- Recall, Haskell is *strictly typed*.
- What does this mean for (say) length?

#### Different types?

```
length [True, False, True] -- :: [Bool] -> Int ?
length [1, 2, 3] -- :: [Int] -> Int?
```
These functions must have *different* types, no?

## Polymorphism

- Recall, Haskell is *strictly typed*.
- What does this mean for (say) length?

#### Different types?

```
length [True, False, True] -- :: [Bool] -> Int ?
length [1, 2, 3] -- :: [Int] -> Int?
```
These functions must have *different* types, no?

#### Polymorphic types

```
Prelude> :type length
length :: [a] \rightarrow Int
```
"length eats a list of values of any type a and returns an Int"

a is called a *type variable*.

This is called *parametric polymorphism*.

### Defnition (Parametric polymorphism)

Write a *single* implementation of a function that applies generically *and identically* to values of any type.

## Defnition ("ad-hoc" polymorphism)

Write *multiple* implementations of a function, one for each type you wish to support.

## Defnition (Subtype polymorphism)

Relate datatypes by some "substitutability". Write a function for a supertype instance. Now all subtypes can use it.

"Duck typing" or "Liskov substitution principle".

## Contrast with OO languages: examples

#### Subtype polymorphism

```
class Foo(object):
   def length(self, ...):
     pass
class Bar(Foo):
   pass
a = Foo().length()# Every Bar is-a Foo, so we can
# call the length method.
b = Bar().length()
```

```
Ad-hoc polymorphism
  class Foo(object):
     pass
  class Bar(object):
     pass
  def length(obj):
     if isinstance(obj, Foo):
        ...
     elif isinstance(obj, Bar):
        ...
  # length knows how to handle things
  # of type Foo and type Bar
  a = length(Foo())b = length(Bar())
```
Parametric polymorphism

```
-- length doesn't care what type the entries
-- in the list are
length :: [a] \rightarrow Int
length [] = 0length (\_:xs) = 1 + \text{length} xs
```
- Parametric polymorphism also called *generic programming*
- Introduced in ML in 1975.
- Has been adopted by a number of languages, including traditional OO ones.
- For example, Java or C# have "generics" for this purpose
	- // Implementation of HashSet is generic // Specialised on instantiation Set<int> intset = new HashSet<int>(); Set<Object> objset = new HashSet<Object>(); How do we knew  $int_{1/a}$ hashare

def \_ hash - (self) pass

• C++ templates also allow for similar style of programming  $\partial V$ 

interface Marhiset ST implements Hashy is hashable clues Foo

COMP2221—Session 3: Types and classes II 12

# Constraining polymorphic functions

- Some polymorphic functions only apply to types that satisfy certain constraints
- For example (+) works on all types a, *as long as* that type is a number type. Type classes

Example

 $(+)$  :: Num a => a -> a -> a

"For any type a that is an *instance* of the *class* Num of numeric types,  $(+)$  has type  $a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a''$ 

- This constraint is called a *class constraint*
- An expression or type with one or more such constraints is called *overloaded*.

 $\Rightarrow$  **Num a => a -> a -> a** is an *overloaded type* and  $(+)$  is an *overloaded function*. 1989 Wadles 8 810th

Making ad-hoc polymonths

COMP2221—Session 3: Types and classes  $\begin{array}{ccc} \n\mathbf{M} & \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{M$ 

#### WARNING!

The *words* class and instance are the same as in object-oriented programming languages, but their *meaning* is very different.

### Defnition (Class)

A collection of *types* that support certain, specifed, overloaded operations called *methods*.

### Defnition (Instance)

A concrete type that belongs to a *class* and provides implementations of the required methods.

- Compare: type "a collection of related values"
- This is *not* like subclassing and inheritance in Java/C++ *| C=*
- If you write fat interfaces with 'abc.abstractmethod' in Python.
- Rust traits give you something close
- Close to a combination of Java *interfaces* and *generics*
- C++ "concepts" (in C++20) are also very similar.

## Defning classes I

- Let us say we want to encapsulate some new property of types Foo-ness
- We defne the interface the type should support

```
class Foo a where
  isfor :: a \rightarrow Bool
```
• Now we say how types implement this

```
instance Foo Int where
 isfoo = False
```

```
instance Foo Char where
  isfoo c = c `elem` ['a'..'c']
```
• Can add new interfaces to old types, and new types to old interfaces.

## Defning classes II

- Classes (interfaces) can provide default implementation.
- Example, the  $Eq$  class representing equality requires both  $(==)$ and  $(\frac{1}{2})$ .
- Since  $a == b \Leftrightarrow not$  (a /= b), we can provide *default* implementations and only require that an instance implements one.

class Eq a where (==) :: a -> a -> Bool  $x = y = not (x / = y)$ (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool  $x$  /=  $y$  = not (x ==  $y$ )  $--$  instance for MyType only needs to provide one of (==) or (/=). instance Eq MyType where  $x = y = ...$ detant to negate dependt to regular

# Building block summary

- Prerequisites: none
- Content
	- Looked at Haskell *classes* in the context of overloaded functions
	- Looked at generic programming (*polymorphism*) in Haskell
	- Defned *overloading* in terms of constrained polymorphism
	- Looked at constrained polymorphism and class constraints.
- Expected learning outcomes

l St

- student *knows* defnition of generic programming and overloading as applied in Haskell
- student can *write* simple polymorphic code in Haskell
- student *understands* some differences between Haskell-style overloading, and Java-style subclassing
- Self-study
	- (Optional, but interesting). Wadler & Blott, *How to make ad-hoc polymorphism less ad hoc*, POPL (1989). [https://people.csail.mit.](https://people.csail.mit.edu/dnj/teaching/6898/papers/wadler88.pdf) [edu/dnj/teaching/6898/papers/wadler88.pdf](https://people.csail.mit.edu/dnj/teaching/6898/papers/wadler88.pdf)
	- (Optional, probably the frst 45 minutes only?). Simon Peyton-Jones on type classes <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6COvD8oynmI>.