

Session 3: Types and classes II

COMP2221: Functional programming

Lawrence Mitchell*

*lawrence.mitchell@durham.ac.uk

COMP2221—Session 3: Types and classes II

Recap

- Idea that variables, and functions have types
- Saw some basic Haskell types
 - Bool
 - Int, Integer, Float
 - Char
 - tuples (a, b, c) and lists [a]
- Discussed *currying* of functions.

```
-- "uncurried"
add' :: (Int, Int) -> Int
add' (x, y) = x + y
```

```
-- "curried"
add'' :: Int -> Int -> Int
add'' x y = x y
```

Currying conventions (reminder)

- (Almost) all functions in Haskell are written in *curried* form
- ⇒ To avoid messy syntax, this leads to associativity rules for -> and function application.

```
-> associates to the right
Int -> Int -> Int -> Int
-- Means
Int -> (Int -> (Int -> Int))
```

Function application associates to the left

```
mult x y z
-- Means
((mult x) y) z
```

• Any type declaration you write will be *checked* by the type inference engine. Error if incorrect

```
foo :: Int -> Bool
foo x = x + 3
error:
    - Couldn't match expected type `Bool' with actual type `Int'
    - In the expression: x + 3
    In an equation for `foo': foo x = x + 3
```

Recommendation

Reasoning about types is a core part of understanding (and writing) Haskell code.

 \Rightarrow always decorate function definitions with their type.

Syntax conventions

- Function application is *so important* that it is written as quietly as possible: with whitespace
- All functions can be called in prefix form:
 "foo a b", not "a foo b"
- ...but, special syntax for binary functions.

Infix notation

All binary functions (which have type a -> b -> c) can be written as *infix* functions.

Symbol only names

Names consisting only of symbols (e.g. +, *)

1 + 2 -- infix notation (+) 1 2 -- prefix notation False && True -- infix notation (&&) False True -- prefix notation

"Normal" names

Names with alpha-numeric characters (e.g. div, mod)

```
mod 3 2 -- prefix notation
3 `mod` 2 -- infix notation using backticks
```

Summary

• Functions defined by "equations" that match patterns: head' [] = [] "Where-ever you see head' [] replace it with []" replace it with []" fransparentNo side effects \Rightarrow substitution

- No side effects \Rightarrow substitution is always safe/correct.
- Patterns are tried textually in order down the page.
- Guards can be used to constrain when equations can match

signum n | n > 0 = 1
| n == 0 = 0
| otherwise = -1
Guard can be any expression that evaluates to a Bool value.
Compare

$$Merrif = Terrightarrow (x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

otherwise

Building block summary

- Prerequisites: none
- Content
 - Defining functions as "equations"
 - Pattern matching in equations
 - Guards and conditional expressions
 - Special syntax for infix notation (binary functions)
- Expected learning outcomes
 - student can write functions using conditional expressions and guard expressions
 - student understands order in which patterns are tried in matching
- Self-study
 - None

Polymorphism

Polymorphism

- Recall, Haskell is *strictly typed*.
- What does this mean for (say) length?

Different types?

```
length [True, False, True] -- :: [Bool] -> Int ?
length [1, 2, 3] -- :: [Int] -> Int ?
```

These functions must have different types, no?

Polymorphism

- Recall, Haskell is strictly typed.
- What does this mean for (say) length?

Different types?

```
length [True, False, True] -- :: [Bool] -> Int ?
length [1, 2, 3] -- :: [Int] -> Int ?
```

These functions must have different types, no?

Polymorphic types

```
Prelude> :type length
length :: [a] -> Int
```

"length eats a list of values of any type **a** and returns an Int"

a is called a *type variable*.

This is called *parametric polymorphism*.

Definition (Parametric polymorphism)

Write a *single* implementation of a function that applies generically *and identically* to values of any type.

Definition ("ad-hoc" polymorphism)

Write *multiple* implementations of a function, one for each type you wish to support.

Definition (Subtype polymorphism)

Relate datatypes by some "substitutability". Write a function for a supertype instance. Now all subtypes can use it.

"Duck typing" or "Liskov substitution principle".

Contrast with OO languages: examples

Subtype polymorphism

```
class Foo(object):
    def length(self, ...):
        pass
class Bar(Foo):
        pass
a = Foo().length()
# Every Bar is-a Foo, so we can
# call the length method.
b = Bar().length()
```

```
Ad-hoc polymorphism
  class Foo(object):
    pass
  class Bar(object):
    pass
  def length(obj):
    if isinstance(obj, Foo):
        ...
    elif isinstance(obj, Bar):
        ...
    # length knows how to handle things
    # of type Foo and type Bar
    a = length(Foo())
    b = length(Bar())
```

Parametric polymorphism

```
-- length doesn't care what type the entries
-- in the list are
length :: [a] -> Int
length [] = 0
length (_:xs) = 1 + length xs
```

- Parametric polymorphism also called *generic programming*
- Introduced in ML in 1975.
- Has been adopted by a number of languages, including traditional OO ones.
- For example, Java or C# have "generics" for this purpose
 - // Implementation of HashSet is generic Hors do we
 // Specialised on instantiation
 Set<int> intset = new HashSet<int>();
 Set<Object> objset = new HashSet<Object>();
 hashable, Set<Object> objset = new HashSet<Object>();

· C++ templates also allow for similar style of programming Object in Ksface Marhset (T indenents Hach's is hashable interface Marhset (Timplements Hashs) class For: det -- hash-(self):

COMP2221—Session 3: Types and classes II

Constraining polymorphic functions

- Some polymorphic functions only apply to types that satisfy certain constraints
- For example (+) works on all types **a**, as long as that type is a number type. Type classes

Example

(+) :: Num a => a -> a -> a

"For any type **a** that is an *instance* of the *class* **Num** of numeric types, (+) has type a -> a -> a"

• This constraint is called a class constraint

X

• An expression or type with one or more such constraints is called overloaded.

 \Rightarrow Num a => a -> a is an overloaded type and (+) is an 1989 Wadles & Bloth. Making ad-hoc polynophon overloaded function.

COMP2221—Session 3: Types and classes II

WARNING!

The *words* class and instance are the same as in object-oriented programming languages, but their *meaning* is very different.

Definition (Class)

A collection of *types* that support certain, specified, overloaded operations called *methods*.

Definition (Instance)

A concrete type that belongs to a *class* and provides implementations of the required methods.

- Compare: type "a collection of related values"
- This is not like subclassing and inheritance in Java/C++/C#.
- If you write flat interfaces with 'abc.abstractmethod' in Python.
- Rust traits give you something close
- Close to a combination of Java interfaces and generics
- C++ "concepts" (in C++20) are also very similar.

Defining classes I

- Let us say we want to encapsulate some new property of types
 Foo-ness
- We define the interface the type should support

```
class Foo a where
  isfoo :: a -> Bool
```

• Now we say how types implement this

```
instance Foo Int where
    isfoo _ = False
```

```
instance Foo Char where
isfoo c = c `elem` ['a'..'c']
```

• Can add new interfaces to old types, and new types to old interfaces.

Defining classes II

- Classes (interfaces) can provide default implementation.
- Example, the Eq class representing equality requires both (==) and (/=).
- Since a == b ⇔ not (a /= b), we can provide *default* implementations and only require that an instance implements one.

class Eq a where (==) :: a -> a -> Bool x == y = not (x /= y) & but to neg at != (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool x /= y = not (x == y) & but to negate == -- instance for MyType only needs to provide one of (==) or (/=). instance Eq MyType where x == y = ...

Building block summary

- Prerequisites: none
- Content
 - Looked at Haskell *classes* in the context of overloaded functions
 - Looked at generic programming (*polymorphism*) in Haskell
 - Defined *overloading* in terms of constrained polymorphism
 - Looked at constrained polymorphism and class constraints.
- Expected learning outcomes

19

- student knows definition of generic programming and overloading as applied in Haskell
- student can write simple polymorphic code in Haskell
- student understands some differences between Haskell-style overloading, and Java-style subclassing
- Self-study
 - (Optional, but interesting). Wadler & Blott, How to make ad-hoc polymorphism less ad hoc, POPL (1989). https://people.csail.mit. edu/dnj/teaching/6898/papers/wadler88.pdf
 - (Optional, probably the first 45 minutes only?). Simon Peyton-Jones on type classes https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6C0vD8oynmI.