

Session 3: Types and classes II

COMP2221: Functional programming

Lawrence Mitchell*

^{*}lawrence.mitchell@durham.ac.uk

Recap

- Idea that variables, and functions have types
- Saw some basic Haskell types
 - Bool
 - Int Integer Float
 - Char
 - tuples (a, b, c) and lists [a]
- Discussed currying of functions.

```
-- "uncurried"
add' :: (Int, Int) -> Int
add' (x, y) = x + y
```

```
add'' :: Int -> (Int -> Int)
add'' x y = x y
-- "curried"
```

Currying conventions (reminder)

· (Almost) all functions in Haskell are written in curried form

⇒ To avoid messy syntax, this leads to associativity rules for -> and function application.

```
-> associates to the right

Int -> Int -> Int -> Int

-- Means

Int -> (Int -> (Int -> Int))
```

```
Function application associates to the left

mult x y z

-- Means

((mult x) y) z

(mult x) y :: | ut > | ut |

:: | ut
```

Type inference

Generalisati A "Haidley-Milner"

type informace. "Algorith-6".

 Any type declaration you write will be checked by the type inference engine. Error if incorrect

```
foo :: Int -> Bool

foo x = x + 3

error:

(+):: Wan a > a > a -> a

(+):: Lut > Lut
```

- Couldn't match expected type `Bool' with actual type `Int'
- In the expression: x + 3
 In an equation for `foo': foo x = x + 3

COMP2221—Session 3: Types and classes II

(from Integral 1) + 1.5

Type inference II

hoogle. hoskell. org-

Recommendation

Reasoning about types is a core part of understanding (and writing) Haskell code.

 \Rightarrow always decorate function definitions with their type.

Syntax conventions

- Function application is so *important* that it is written as quietly as possible: with whitespace
- All functions can be called in prefix form:
 "foo a b", not "a foo b"
- ...but, special syntax for binary functions.

Binary functions: infix notation

Infix notation

All binary functions (which have type a -> b -> c) can be written as *infix* functions.

Symbol only names

Names consisting *only* of symbols (e.g. +, *)

```
1 + 2 -- infix notation

(+) 1 2 -- prefix notation

False && True -- infix notation

(&&) False True -- prefix notation
```

"Normal" names

Names with alpha-numeric characters (e.g. div, mod)

```
mod 3 2 -- prefix notation
3 `mod` 2 -- infix notation using backticks
```

Summary

Functions defined by "equations" that match patterns:

```
head' [] = []
head'(x:xs) = x
```

"Where-ever you see head' [] replace it with []"

- No side effects ⇒ substitution is always safe/correct.
- Patterns are tried textually in order down the page.

• Guards can be used to constrain when equations can match signum
$$n \mid n > 0 = 1$$

$$\mid n == 0 = 0$$

$$\mid otherwise = -1$$

Guard can be any expression that evaluates to a **Bool** value. Compare

$$s(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Building block summary

- · Prerequisites: none
- Content
 - Defining functions as "equations"
 - Pattern matching in equations
 - Guards and conditional expressions
 - Special syntax for infix notation (binary functions)
- Expected learning outcomes
 - student can write functions using conditional expressions and guard expressions
 - student understands order in which patterns are tried in matching
- Self-study
 - None

Polymorphism

Polymorphism

- Recall, Haskell is strictly typed.
- What does this mean for (say) length?

Different types?

```
length [True, False, True] -- :: [Bool] -> Int ?
length [1, 2, 3] -- :: [Int] -> Int ?
```

These functions must have different types, no?

Polymorphism

- · Recall, Haskell is strictly typed.
- What does this mean for (say) length?

Different types?

```
length [True, False, True] -- :: [Bool] -> Int ?
length [1, 2, 3] -- :: [Int] -> Int ?
```

These functions must have different types, no?

Polymorphic types

```
Prelude> :type length
length :: [a] -> Int
```

"Length eats a list of values of any type a and returns an Int"

a is called a *type variable*.

This is called parametric polymorphism.

Contrast with OO languages: defintions

Definition (Parametric polymorphism)

Write a *single* implementation of a function that applies generically and identically to values of any type.

Definition ("ad-hoc" polymorphism)

Write *multiple* implementations of a function, one for each type you wish to support.

Definition (Subtype polymorphism)

Relate datatypes by some "substitutability". Write a function for a supertype instance. Now all subtypes can use it.

"Duck typing" or "Liskov substitution principle".

Contrast with OO languages: examples

Subtype polymorphism class Foo(object): def length(self, ...): pass class Bar(Foo): pass a = Foo().length() # Every Bar is-a Foo, so we can # call the length method. b = Bar().length()

```
Ad-hoc polymorphism

class Foo(object):
    pass
class Bar(object):
    pass
def length(obj):
    if isinstance(obj, Foo):
        ...
    elif isinstance(obj, Bar):
        ...
# length knows how to handle things
# of type Foo and type Bar
a = length(Foo())
b = length(Bar())
```

Parametric polymorphism

```
-- length doesn't care what type the entries
-- in the list are
length :: [a] -> Int
length [] = 0
length (_:xs) = 1 + length xs
```

Contrast with OO languages

- · Parametric polymorphism also called generic programming
- Introduced in ML in 1975.
- Has been adopted by a number of languages, including traditional OO ones.
- For example, Java or C# have "generics" for this purpose

```
// Implementation of HashSet is generic
// Specialised on instantiation
Set<int> intset = new HashSet<int>();
Set<Object> objset = new HashSet<Object>();
```

• C++ templates also allow for similar style of programming

Constraining polymorphic functions

- Some polymorphic functions only apply to types that satisfy certain constraints
- For example (+) works on all types **a**, as long as that type is a number type.

Example

```
(+) :: Num a => a -> a -> a
```

"For any type a that is an *instance* of the *class* Num of numeric types, (+) has type a -> a -> a"

- This constraint is called a class constraint
- An expression or type with one or more such constraints is called overloaded.
- \Rightarrow Num a => a -> a is an overloaded type and (+) is an overloaded function.

Haskell classes

WARNING!

The words class and instance are the same as in object-oriented programming languages, but their meaning is very different.

Definition (Class)

A collection of *types* that support certain, specified, overloaded operations called *methods*.

Definition (Instance)

A concrete type that belongs to a *class* and provides implementations of the required methods.

- Compare: type "a collection of related values"
- This is not like subclassing and inheritance in Java/C++
- Closest to a combination of Java interfaces and generics
- C++ "concepts" (in C++20) are also very similar.

Defining classes I

- Let us say we want to encapsulate some new property of types
 Foo-ness
- We define the interface the type should support

```
class Foo a where
  isfoo :: a -> Bool
```

Now we say how types implement this

```
instance Foo Int where
  isfoo _ = False

instance Foo Char where
  isfoo c = c `elem` ['a'..'c']
```

- Can add new interfaces to old types, and new types to old interfaces.
- Contrast Java, where if I implement a new interface it is very difficult to make existing classes implement it.

Defining classes II

- · Classes (interfaces) can provide default implementation.
- Example, the Eq class representing equality requires both (==) and (/=).
- Since a == b ⇔ not (a /= b), we can provide default implementations and only require that an instance implements one.

```
class Eq a where
  (==) :: a -> a -> Bool
  x == y = not (x /= y)
  (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
  x /= y = not (x == y)

-- instance for MyType only needs to provide one of (==) or (/=).
instance Eq MyType where
  x == y = ...
```

Building block summary

- Prerequisites: none
- Content
 - · Looked at Haskell classes in the context of overloaded functions
 - · Looked at generic programming (polymorphism) in Haskell
 - Defined overloading in terms of constrained polymorphism
 - · Looked at constrained polymorphism and class constraints.
- Expected learning outcomes
 - student knows definition of generic programming and overloading as applied in Haskell
 - student can write simple polymorphic code in Haskell
 - student understands some differences between Haskell-style overloading, and Java-style subclassing
- Self-study
 - (Optional, but interesting). Wadler & Blott, How to make ad-hoc polymorphism less ad hoc, POPL (1989). https://people.csail.mit. edu/dnj/teaching/6898/papers/wadler88.pdf
 - (Optional, probably the first 45 minutes only?). Simon Peyton-Jones on type classes https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6COvD8oynmI.